## UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: EPIPEN (EPINEPHRINE INJECTION, USP) MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND ANTITRUST LITIGATION

MDL No. 2785

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

## CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -2)

On August 3, 2017, the Panel transferred 4 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the District of Kansas for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See \_\_F.Supp.3d\_\_ (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 1 additional action(s) have been transferred to the District of Kansas. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Daniel D. Crabtree.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the District of Kansas and assigned to Judge Crabtree.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation</u>, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the District of Kansas for the reasons stated in the order of August 3, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Daniel D. Crabtree.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Kansas. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Aug 28, 2017

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON

FOR THE PANEL:

Jeffery N. Lüthi Clerk of the Panel IN RE: EPIPEN (EPINEPHRINE INJECTION, USP) MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND ANTITRUST LITIGATION

MDL No. 2785

## SCHEDULE CTO-2 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

DIST	DIV.	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	CASE CAPTION
NEW JERS	EY		
NJ	2	17-06110	LOCAL 282 WELFARE TRUST FUND v. MYLAN INC. et al