



hearings and juvenile delinquency adjudication or trial proceedings.

Under section 15002(b)(4) of the CARES Act, the judge may use this authorization only upon the consent of the defendant, or the juvenile, after consultation with counsel. Such consultation with counsel may be accomplished by remote means, including but not limited to video or telephone conference.

2. This Court also continues to authorize the use of video teleconferencing, or telephone conferencing if video teleconferencing is not reasonably available, in the criminal procedures specifically enumerated in section 15002(b)(2)(A) of the CARES Act, to wit: felony pleas under Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and felony sentencings under Rule 32 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. Under section 15002(b)(2)(A) of the CARES Act, the district judge in a particular case must find for specific reasons that the plea or sentencing in that case cannot be further delayed without serious harm to the interests of justice. Further, under section 15002(b)(2) of the CARES Act, the judge may use this authorization only upon the consent of the defendant, or the juvenile, after consultation with counsel. Such consultation with counsel may be accomplished by remote means, including but not limited to video or telephone conference.
3. In consultation with the Clerk of Court, the Chief Judge will continue to monitor and review the relevant data to determine if the Court is adequately preserving the public health and safety in the functioning of the justice system. This Administrative Order may be amended or superseded to reflect the current conditions.
4. Giving due consideration to public health and safety, the nature of the hearing, the interest of those involved, the protective measures in place in the courthouse and courtroom, and the general interests of justice, the presiding judge has the discretion to conduct courtroom hearings in civil and criminal cases.
5. In conducting the courtroom hearings, this Court will take reasonable protective measures to ensure the safety and health of parties, attorneys, Court personnel, and other courtroom participants, including (as appropriate) but not limited to: providing sanitizers and wipes, requiring social distancing, allowing the wearing of masks or face shields when doing so does not impede communication and, when practical, sanitizing of exposed areas between hearings. The presiding judge has the discretion to determine what reasonable measures should be taken, giving due consideration to the health and safety of all persons in the courtroom and the recommendations of the Facility Security Committee and the Court Security Committee.
6. Pursuant to the CARES Act and the Recovery Guidelines, the presiding judge has the discretion and is encouraged to use video and teleconferencing in criminal and civil hearings.

The Chief Judge has reviewed the CARES Act authorizations granted in this and previous Administrative Orders and pursuant to section 15002(b)(3) of the CARES Act, the aforementioned authorizations are extended until the earlier of: (1) the date the Chief Judge determines the authorization is no longer warranted, (2) the date on which emergency authority granted by the

JCUS is terminated, (3) the date authorization has been terminated pursuant to section 15002(b)(5) of the CARES Act, or (4) September 21, 2021. If this authorization has not been terminated before September 21, 2021, this Court will review this authorization and determine whether to extend it, in a frequency not to exceed 90 days.

SO ORDERED this 23rd day of June 2021.

s/ Julie A. Robinson  

---

JULIE A. ROBINSON, CHIEF JUDGE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT