CLERK'S COURTROOM MOTIONS/MISCELLANEOUS MINUTE SHEET

CODY KEENER, et al

Vs. CASE NO. 15-9300-JAR

KRIS W. KOBACH, et al

STEVEN WAYNE FISH, et al

Vs. CASE NO. 16-2105-JAR

KRIS W. KOBACH, et al

Location: Kansas City, Kansas

JUDGE:	Julie A. Robinson	DATE:	6/14/2016
DEPUTY CLERK:	Bonnie Wiest	REPORTER:	Kelli Stewart
INTERPRETER:		PRETRIAL/PROBATION:	

MOTION HEARING

This case comes before the Court for a motions hearing. Plaintiffs Cody Keener, Alder Cromwell and Parker Bednasek appear by their attorneys, Curtis Woods, Mark Johnson, William Lawrence and Paul Davis. Plaintiffs Steven Fish, Donna Bucci, Charles Stricker, Thomas Boynton, Douglas Hutchinson, The League of Women Voters of Kansas appear by their counsel, Dale Ho, Sophia Lakin, Orion Danjuma, and Rebecca Waldman. Defendant Kobach appears in person and by counsel, Garrett Roe. Defendant Nick Jordan (Case No. 16-2105) appears by Brian Cox and M. J. Willoughby. Defendant Jamie Shew (Case No. 15-9300) appears by John Bullock and Bradley Finkeldei.

After hearing argument of counsel, and for reasons set forth in full on the record, the Court rules on the motions as follows:

- Motion to Certify Class Action by Plaintiffs Keener, Bednasek and Cromwell (Doc. 60) TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT
- Motion to Certify Class by Plaintiffs Steven Fish, Thomas Boynton, Donna Bucci, Douglas Hutchinson, Ralph Ortiz, and Charles Stricker – TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT
- Amended Motion to Certify class by Plaintiffs Steven Fish, Thomas Boynton, Donna Bucci, Douglas Hutchinson, Ralph Ortiz, Charles Stricker, The League of Women Voters of Kansas (Doc. 77) – TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT.

The Court requests copies of depositions not previously provided. Counsel for Defendant Jordan has 7 calendar days (June 21, 2016) to submit additional briefing regarding the Revenue's authority to require further documentation on a renewal as a matter of law. Plaintiffs have 8 calendar days (June 29, 2016) to respond.

The Court suspends the deadlines under the scheduling order. New deadlines will be set following the Court's ruling on the dispositive motions.