IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS

IN RE: SYNGENTA AG MIR 162 CORN LITIGATION	
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	No. 2:14-md-02591-JWL-JPO
ALL CASES CONFORMING TO THE PRODUCER PLAINTIFFS' AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT	
ALL CASES CONFORMING TO THE MILO PRODUCER PLAINTIFFS' MASTER COMPLAINT	
ALL CASES CONFORMING TO THE NON-PRODUCER PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED CLASS ACTION MASTER COMPLAINT	
SYNGENTA AG, SYNGENTA CROP PROTECTION AG, SYNGENTA CORPORATION, SYNGENTA CROP PROTECTION, LLC, SYNGENTA BIOTECHNOLOGY, INC., AND SYNGENTA SEEDS, INC.,	
Defendants / Third-Party Plaintiffs,	
v.))))
CARGILL INTERNATIONAL S.A.	
Third-Party Defendants.)))

ORDER GRANTING CARGILL INTERNATIONAL S.A.'S UNOPPOSED MOTION TO DISMISS SYNGENTA'S THIRD-PARTY COMPLAINTS

Based on all the files, records, and proceedings herein, and a stipulation of dismissal by the Third-Party Defendant Cargill International S.A. ("CISA") and Defendants/Third-Party

Case 2:14-md-02591-JWL-JPO Document 1910 Filed 04/27/16 Page 2 of 2

Plaintiffs Syngenta AG, Syngenta Crop Protection AG, Syngenta Corporation, Syngenta Crop

Protection, LLC, Syngenta Biotechnology, Inc., and Syngenta Seeds, Inc. ("Syngenta"), the

Court hereby GRANTS CISA's unopposed motion to dismiss Syngenta's Third-Party

Complaint.

It is hereby ORDERED that Syngenta's Third-Party Complaints against CISA are

DISMISSED ON THE MERITS for the reasons stated in the Court's April 4, 2016 Order (ECF

No. 1803). Notwithstanding the stipulation of dismissal and this Order, Syngenta preserves all

rights that it may have to challenge the Court's preemption ruling and preserves its rights to

similarly challenge the dismissal of CISA on preemption grounds, and CISA preserves all

defenses that it may have to the Third-Party Complaints including those related to personal

jurisdiction.

Dated: April 26, 2016

s/ John W. Lungstrum

Judge John W. Lungstrum

U.S. District Court

2